

Yadav, Kamya (POLSCI 164A DIS 102 Political Psychology and Involvement (EVAL FOR GSI)) - Summer 2025 (Instructor Version)

Project Title: **Summer 2025 Evaluations**

Courses Audience: **49**

Responses Received: **8**

Response Ratio: **16.33%**

Subject Details


Name	POLSCI 164A DIS 102 Political Psychology and Involvement (EVAL FOR GSI)
DEPT_NAME	POLSCI
DEPT_FORM	POL SCI
EVALUATION_TYPE	G
First Name	Kamya
Last Name	Yadav

FOR YOUR INFORMATION: Please note that "Department Mean" for each rating question is calculated using all sections in your department. This may include both Faculty and GSIs depending on whether the department has selected a question item to be used for both.

UNIVERSITY WIDE QUESTIONS (QUANTITATIVE/RATING):


The items in this section are asked across all courses at Berkeley.

The GSI's instructional activities, contributions, and/or feedback enhanced my learning. ("Learning" may include gaining mastery of course content and new skills, exposure to new methodologies and modes of critical thinking, and extending the ability to express oneself on the topics treated in the course.)



The GSI's instructional activities, contributions, and/or feedback enhanced my learning. ("Learning" may include gaining mastery of course content and new skills, exposure to new methodologies and modes of critical thinking, and extending the ability to express oneself on the topics treated in the course.)		
Options	Count	Percentage
1-Strongly disagree	0	0.00%
2-Disagree	0	0.00%
3-Disagree Somewhat	0	0.00%
4-Neutral (neither agree nor disagree)	1	12.50%
5-Agree Somewhat	0	0.00%
6-Agree	2	25.00%
7-Strongly agree	5	62.50%
Statistics	Value	
Response Count	8	
Mean	6.38	
Median	7.00	
Standard Deviation	1.06	

The GSI created an environment in which I could feel included (for example, encouraged multiple voices/perspectives, welcomed questions and critiques, responded to student feedback).




The GSI created an environment in which I could feel included (for example, encouraged multiple voices/perspectives, welcomed questions and critiques, responded to student feedback).

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Strongly disagree	0	0.00%
2-Disagree	0	0.00%
3-Disagree Somewhat	0	0.00%
4-Neutral (neither agree nor disagree)	0	0.00%
5-Agree Somewhat	0	0.00%
6-Agree	1	14.29%
7-Strongly agree	6	85.71%
Statistics		Value
Response Count		7
Mean		6.86
Median		7.00
Standard Deviation		0.38

DEPARTMENT PROVIDED INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS:

Items in this section were selected by POL SCI for inclusion on this evaluation.


The instructor presented content in an organized manner.



The instructor presented content in an organized manner.


Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	2	33.33%
7-Very	4	66.67%
Statistics		Value
Response Count		6
Mean		6.67
Median		7.00
Standard Deviation		0.52

The instructor explained concepts clearly.




The instructor explained concepts clearly.		
Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	3	42.86%
7-Very	4	57.14%
Statistics		Value
Response Count		7
Mean		6.57
Median		7.00
Standard Deviation		0.53

The instructor was helpful when I had difficulties or questions.




The instructor was helpful when I had difficulties or questions.		
Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	1	14.29%
7-Very	6	85.71%
Statistics		Value
Response Count		7
Mean		6.86
Median		7.00
Standard Deviation		0.38

The instructor provided clear constructive feedback.




The instructor provided clear constructive feedback.		
Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	1	14.29%
5	0	0.00%
6	1	14.29%
7-Very	5	71.43%
Statistics		Value
Response Count		7
Mean		6.43
Median		7.00
Standard Deviation		1.13

The instructor encouraged student questions and participation.



The instructor encouraged student questions and participation.		
Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	2	28.57%
7-Very	5	71.43%
Statistics		Value
Response Count		7
Mean		6.71
Median		7.00
Standard Deviation		0.49

Considering both the limitations and possibilities of the subject matter and the course, how would you rate the overall effectiveness of this graduate student instructor?




Considering both the limitations and possibilities of the subject matter and the course, how would you rate the overall effectiveness of this graduate student instructor?

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all Effective	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Moderately Effective	1	12.50%
5	1	12.50%
6	1	12.50%
7-Extremely Effective	5	62.50%
Statistics	Value	
Response Count	8	
Mean	6.25	
Median	7.00	
Standard Deviation	1.16	

DEPARTMENT PROVIDED COURSE QUESTIONS:

Items in this section were selected by POL SCI for inclusion on this evaluation.


The course was effectively organized.



The course was effectively organized.

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	1	12.50%
7-Very	7	87.50%
Statistics	Value	
Response Count	8	
Mean	6.88	
Median	7.00	
Standard Deviation	0.35	


The course developed my abilities and skills for the subject.



The course developed my abilities and skills for the subject.

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	0	0.00%
7-Very	8	100.00%
Statistics	Value	
Response Count	8	
Mean	7.00	
Median	7.00	
Standard Deviation	0.00	

The course developed my ability to think critically about the subject.



The course developed my ability to think critically about the subject.

Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Somewhat	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	0	0.00%
7-Very	8	100.00%
Statistics		Value
Response Count		8
Mean		7.00
Median		7.00
Standard Deviation		0.00

Please identify what you consider to be the strengths of the course (or section).

Comments

Very well organized course.

Accessible office hours

Friendly, encouraged participation, open to feedback

Please identify area(s) where you think the course could be improved.

Comments

Slightly more detailed feedback on improvements for essays/exams.

Not much more you can do with a summer session

What advice would you give to another student who is considering taking this course?


Comments

N/A

This is a well-balanced summer course that is full of valuable and relevant information, without being overly burdensome with busy work.

utilize office hours and feel free to request for a regrade.

Considering both the limitations and possibilities of the subject matter and the course, how would you rate the overall effectiveness of this course?



Considering both the limitations and possibilities of the subject matter and the course, how would you rate the overall effectiveness of this course?


Options	Count	Percentage
1-Not at all Effective	0	0.00%
2	0	0.00%
3	0	0.00%
4-Moderately Effective	0	0.00%
5	0	0.00%
6	2	25.00%
7-Extremely Effective	6	75.00%
Statistics	Value	
Response Count	8	
Mean	6.75	
Median	7.00	
Standard Deviation	0.46	

DEPARTMENT PROVIDED STUDENT INFORMATION QUESTIONS

Items in this section were selected by POL SCI for inclusion on this evaluation.


On average, how many hours per week have you spent on this course, including attending classes, doing readings, reviewing notes, writing papers, and any other course-related work?

On average, how many hours per week have you spent on this course, including attending classes, doing readings, reviewing notes, writing papers, and any other course-related work?



Options	Count	Percentage
3 or fewer	0	0.00%
4-6	3	37.50%
7-9	1	12.50%
10-12	1	12.50%
13-15	2	25.00%
16-18	1	12.50%
More than 18	0	0.00%
Statistics	Value	
Response Count	8	

How many class (or section) sessions did you attend?



How satisfied were you with your effort in this course (or section)?

